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## CHARTS

## CHART 1

Full APRs Allowed for a Six-Month \$500 Loan

CHART 2
Full APRs Allowed for a Two-Year \$2000 Loan
 13 states

No stated cap on finance charges
No numerical cap, but prohibits unconscionability (loan terms that are so one-sided as to shock the conscience)

Allowable full APR over 60\%
Allowable full APR between 36\% and 60\%
Allowable full APR of $36 \%$ or less

## CHART 3

## How Add-Ons Swell the Cost of a Ten-Month Loan

 \$1000 total repayment of obligation

This example is taken from an actual loan made in Louisiana.

CHART 4
Effect of Refinancing a \$500 Six-Month Louisiana Loan Three Times


The example assumes that 1) the borrower refinanced the loan three times, each time after making the second payment; and 2) the borrower did not obtain any new money upon refinancing, but simply refinanced the remaining balance each time so that it would be repayable over six months. The result of the three refinancings is an increase in the repayment period from 6 months to 12 months.

CHART 5
Effect of Refinancing a $\$ 2000$ Two-Year Louisiana Loan Three Times


The example assumes that 1) the borrower refinanced the loan three times, each time after making the third payment; and 2) the borrower did not obtain any new money upon refinancing, but simply refinanced the remaining balance each time so that it would be repayable over six months. The result of the three refinancings is an increase in the repayment period from 24 months to 33 months.

CHART 6
Remaining Principal Balance by Month \$500 Loan with \$50 Semi-Monthly Payments Six-Month v. Two-Year Term


## MAPS

## MAP 1

## Full APRs Allowed for Six-Month \$500 Installment Loan

Details regarding all APRs shown on this map, and notes regarding caveats


No stated cap on finance charges
No cap other than unconscionability (no cap*)
Allowable full APR over 60\%
Allowable full APR between 36\% and 60\%
Allowable full APR of $36 \%$ or less

MAP 2

## Full APRs Allowed for Two-Year \$2000 Installment Loan

Details regarding all APRs shown on this map, and notes regarding caveats


No stated cap on finance charges
No cap other than unconscionability (no cap*)
Allowable full APR over 60\%
Allowable full APR between $36 \%$ and $60 \%$
Allowable full APR of $36 \%$ or less

MAP 3

## Full APRs Allowed for a \$500 Cash Advance Repayable Over Six Months

See Sections II(C)(2) through II(C)(4) for more information about the rates,


No stated cap on finance charges
No numerical cap, but prohibits against unconscionability (no cap*)
Cap on interest but no numerical cap on all fees
Allowable full APR over 60\%
Allowable full APR between 36\% and 60\%
Allowable full APR of $36 \%$ or less
No specific provisions for non-bank lenders to extend open-credit

MAP 4

## Full APRs Allowed for a $\$ 2000$ Cash Advance Repayable Over Two Years

See Sections II(C)(2) through II(C)(4) for more information about the rates,


No stated cap on finance charges
No numerical cap, but prohibition against unconscionability (no cap*)
Cap on interest but no numerical cap on all fees
Allowable full APR over 60\%
Allowable full APR between $36 \%$ and $60 \%$
Allowable full APR of $36 \%$ or less
No specific provisions for non-bank lenders to extend open-credit

MAP 5
Full APR Allowed for \$500 6-Month Installment or Open-End Loans in Payday and Non-Payday States


Payday and "hybrid" states that allow full APRs over 36\%
States that prohibit payday lending but allow full APRs over 36\%
Payday and "hybrid" states that limit full APRs to $36 \%$ or less
States that prohibit payday lending and limit full APRs to $36 \%$ or less

## TABLES

## States that Do Not Cap Interest Rates for Installment Loans

| STATE | LOANS FOR WHICH THERE IS NO CAP | DOES STATUTE PROHIBIT UNCONSCIONABILITY? |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | Loans of $\$ 2000$ or more | Yes |
| Delaware | All loans | No |
| Idaho | All loans | Yes |
| Missouri | All loans | No |
| New Mexico | All loans | Yes (state deceptive practices statute) |
| North Dakota | Loans of more than $\$ 1000$ | No |
| Ohio* | All loans | No |
| South Carolina | Loans of more than $\$ 600$ | Yes |
| South Dakota | All loans | No |
| Utah | All loans | Yes |
| Wisconsin | All loans | Yes |

See Section I(A)(6) for an explanation of unconscionability.
*Ohio's rate caps are ineffective because they can be circumvented through credit services organizations. See Section $I(K)(3)$.

TABLE 2
Examples of Full APRs Produced by Stated Interest Rate Cap Plus Loan Fees for Six-Month \$500 Loan

| STATE | INTEREST RATE CAP | LOAN FEES ALLOWED | FULL APR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | $36 \%$ | $5 \%$ of principal | $54 \%$ |
| Indiana | $36 \%$ | $\$ 50$ | $71 \%$ |
| Louisiana | $36 \%$ | $\$ 50$ original fee and $\$ 20$ <br> documentation fee | $85 \%$ |
| Oklahoma |  | $10 \%$ of principal plus monthly <br> charge of $\$ 22.05$ | $116 \%$ |

TABLE 3
Rule of 78s Penalty for 24-month \$2000 Loan at 36\%
Showing the difference between a Rule of 78 s rebate and an actuarial rebate for a $\$ 2000$ 24-month loan at 36\% interest

| IF LOAN IS REFINANCED AFTER... | RULE OF 78s PENALTY |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 months | $\$ 20.95$ |
| 8 months | $\$ 27.98$ |
| 12 months | $\$ 24.73$ |

TABLE 4
Payment Schedule for Selected Loan Amount

| PAYMENT | PRINCIPLE <br> BALANCE | FEE BALANCE | TOTAL DUE | AMOUNT TO <br> PAYOFF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 300.00$ | $\$ 105.00$ | $\$ 105.00$ | $\$ 105.00$ |
| 2 | $\$ 300.00$ | $\$ 105.00$ | $\$ 105.00$ | $\$ 405.00$ |
| 3 | $\$ 300.00$ | $\$ 105.00$ | $\$ 105.00$ | $\$ 405.00$ |
| 4 | $\$ 300.00$ | $\$ 105.00$ | $\$ 105.00$ | $\$ 405.00$ |
| 5 | $\$ 300.00$ | $\$ 105.00$ | $\$ 105.00$ | $\$ 405.00$ |
| 6 | $\$ 300.00$ | $\$ 105.00$ | $\$ 130.00$ | $\$ 405.00$ |
| 7 | $\$ 275.00$ | $\$ 96.25$ | $\$ 121.25$ | $\$ 371.25$ |
| 8 | $\$ 250.00$ | $\$ 87.50$ | $\$ 112.50$ | $\$ 337.50$ |
| 9 | $\$ 225.00$ | $\$ 78.75$ | $\$ 103.75$ | $\$ 303.75$ |
| 10 | $\$ 200.00$ | $\$ 70.00$ | $\$ 95.00$ | $\$ 270.00$ |
| 11 | $\$ 175.00$ | $\$ 61.25$ | $\$ 86.25$ | $\$ 236.25$ |
| 12 | $\$ 150.00$ | $\$ 52.50$ | $\$ 77.50$ | $\$ 202.50$ |
| 13 | $\$ 125.00$ | $\$ 43.75$ | $\$ 68.75$ | $\$ 168.75$ |
| 14 | $\$ 100.00$ | $\$ 35.00$ | $\$ 60.00$ | $\$ 135.00$ |
| 15 | $\$ 75.00$ | $\$ 17.50$ | $\$ 51.25$ | $\$ 101.25$ |
| 16 | $\$ 50.00$ | $\$ 67.50$ | $\$ 67.50$ |  |

From an installment lender's website, https://www.castlepayday.com/loan-rates (adjusting loan amount to $\$ 300$ ). "Principle" is misspelled in the original.

TABLE 5

## Comparison of Two-Year and Six-Month Loan at 400\% Interest with \$166 Monthly Payment

| PAYMENT <br> AMOUNT | INTEREST <br> RATE | NUMBER OF <br> PAYMENTS | AMOUNT <br> BORROWED | TOTAL INTEREST <br> PAID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 166$ | $400 \%$ | 24 | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 3527$ |
| $\$ 166$ | $400 \%$ | 6 | $\$ 410$ | $\$ 586$ |

TABLE 6
Maximum Length Allowed for \$1000 Loan

| LOAN TERM MAY NOT EXCEED... | STATES |
| :--- | :--- |
| 18 months | Oklahoma |
| 24 to 26 months | Alabama (Small Loan Act), Alaska, Colorado, Connecticut, |
|  | Indiana, Maine, North Dakota, Rhode Island, South Carolina |
| 36 to 38 months | Alabama (Consumer Credit Act), California, Georgia, Idaho, |
|  | Iowa, Kansas, Maryland, Nebraska, New Jersey, Tennessee, |
|  | Texas (Consumer Loans), Wisconsin, Wyoming |
| 48 to 50 months | Texas (Subchapter K) |
| 60 to 61 months | Kentucky |
| 8 years | North Carolina |

Only the states that set maximums are included in this table.

TABLE 7
Full APR for \$500 Loan under Law Allowing Finance Charge of \$15 per \$100

| PAYABLE IN... | FULL APR |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 week | $780 \%$ |
| 2 weeks | $390 \%$ |
| 6 monthly installments | $50 \%$ |

TABLE 8

## States that Do Not Place Numerical Cap on Interest Rates for Open-End Credit

| STATE | DOES STATUTE PROHIBIT UNCONSCIONABILITY? |
| :--- | :---: |
| Alabama (for loans of \$2000 or more) | Yes |
| Delaware | No |
| Idaho | Yes |
| Illinois | No |
| Iowa | Yes |
| Kansas | Yes |
| Maine | Yes |
| Missouri | No |
| New Mexico | Yes (state deceptive practices statute) |
| Ohio* | No |
| Rhode Island | No |
| South Carolina (for loans of more than $\$ 600$ ) | Yes |
| South Dakota | No |
| Utah | Yes |
| Virginia | No |
| Wisconsin | Yes |

See Section I(A)(6) for an explanation of unconscionability.
*Ohio's rate caps are ineffective because they can be circumvented through credit services organizations. See Section I(K)(3).

TABLE 9

## States that Cap Interest Rates But Not All Fees for Open-End Credit

| STATE | INTEREST RATE ALLOWED | FEES PERMITTED BY STATUTE FOR WHICH NO NUMERICAL CAP IS STATED | DOES STATUTE PROHIBIT UNREASONABLE OR UNCONSCIONABLE FEES? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | split rate ranging from $30 \%$ on first $\$ 225$ to $12 \%$ on amount over \$1650 | Participation fee | Yes |
| Colorado | 21\% | Annual fee | Yes |
| Hawaii | 24\% | Participation fees imposed on an annual, periodic, or other basis | No |
| Indiana | 36\% | Annual fee | Yes |
| Maryland | 24\% | Annual fee and transaction fee | No |
| Massachusetts | 18\% | Annual fee | Yes (deceptive practices statute) |
| Michigan <br> Regulatory Loan Act; Credit Card Arrangements* | 18\% | Annual fee | No |
| Mississippi | 21\% | Any fees other than interest** | No |
| New Hampshire | 36\% | Application and participation fee | Regulator has authority to investigate unreasonable or unfair fee ${ }^{* * *}$ |
| Oklahoma | 27\% on first \$2910 | Annual or membership fees, transaction fees, cash advance fees | Yes |
| South Carolina (for loans of $\$ 600$ or less) | 18\% | Annual fee | Yes |
| Washington | 25\% | Annual fee | No |
| West Virginia | $31 \%$ plus loan processing fee of $2 \%$ of amount financed | Annual fee | Yes |
| Wyoming | $36 \%$ on first $\$ 1000$, $21 \%$ on remainder | Annual fee for credit card | Yes |

[^0]TABLE 10
States that Set Numerical Caps on Rates and Fees for Open-End Credit

| STATE | INTEREST <br> RATE CAP | CAP ON LOAN FEES | FULL APR FOR \$500 6-MONTH CASH ADVANCE | FULL APR FOR \$2000 2-YEAR CASH ADVANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama (cap applies only to loans of less than \$2000) | $21 \%$ on first $\$ 750$, $18 \%$ on remainder | Surcharge of $6 \%$ of amount financed | 39\% | no cap |
| Alaska | $36 \%$ on first \$850, <br> $24 \%$ on remainder | No fees allowed | 36\% | 31\% |
| Arizona | $36 \%$ on first \$3000 | $5 \%$ of principal, capped at $\$ 150$ | 54\% | 41\% |
| Connecticut | 19.8\% | \$50 annual fee | 54\% | 24\% |
| Florida | 30\% on first \$3000 | \$25 investigation fee; \$25 annual fee on each anniversary date | 48\% | 34\% |
| Louisiana (revolving loan account) | 18\% | \$50 origination fee plus $\$ 20$ document fee | 85\% | 39\% |
| Minnesota | $33 \%$ on first \$1125, $19 \%$ on remainder | \$50 annual fee, \$30 cash advance fee | 89\% | 36\% |
| Nebraska | $24 \%$ on first $\$ 1000$, <br> $21 \%$ on remainder | $7 \%$ of first \$2000 and $5 \%$ of remainder, or $\$ 500$, whichever is less | 48\% | 30\% |
| Nevada | 40\% | \$20 annual fee | 54\% | 42\% |
| New Jersey | 30\% | \$50 annual fee | 65\% | 35\% |
| New York | 25\% | Must fall within 25\% cap | 25\% | 25\% |
| North Carolina | 16\% | None | 18\% | 18\% |
| Oregon* | $36 \%$ (or a discount window rate plus 30 points) | None | 36\% | 36\% |
| Pennsylvania | 24\% | \$50 annual fee | 59\% | 29\% |
| Tennessee | 279\% | None | 279\% | 279\% |
| Texas | 21\% | \$50 annual fee; plus cash advance fee of $\$ 2$ or $2 \%$ of advance, whichever is greater | 62\% | 28\% |

The fee-inclusive or "full" APRs in this table take into account all fees that are required as a condition of the extension of credit, including origination fees, periodic fees, and cash advance fees, but not post-transaction charges such as late fees and returned check fees.
*Oregon also allows lenders to charge "other reasonable and bona fide fees, expenses or damages, subject to oversight and regulation by the Department of Consumer and Business Services." Or. Rev. Stat. § 725.340(1)(b).

TABLE 11

## States that Allow Payday Lending, Showing Maximum Full APR Allowed for \$500 Six-Month Loan or Cash Advance

| STATE | FULL APR ALLOWED |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alabama | $94 \%$ |
| Alaska | $36 \%$ |
| Hawaii | $18 \%$ plus annual fee without stated cap for open-end |
| California | $45 \%$ or, for open-end, capped interest rate plus participation fee, which must not be |
| unconscionable |  |
| Idaho | No cap except unconscionability on rates or fees |
| Illinois | No cap on interest rate for open-end* |
| Indiana | $71 \%$ or, for open-end, $36 \%$ plus "reasonable" annual fee |
| Iowa | No cap other than unconscionability on interest rate for open-end |
| Kansas | No cap other than unconsionability on interest rate or fees for open-end |
| Kentucky | $47 \%$ |
| Louisiana | $85 \%$ |
| Michigan | $43 \%$ or, for open-end, 18\% plus an annual fee without stated cap under one statute, |
| Mississippi | $52 \%$ or, for open-end, $21 \%$ plus other charges and fees agreed upon** |
| Missouri | No cap on interest |
| Nebraska | $48 \%$ |
| Nevada | $54 \%$ for open-end |
| New Mexico | No cap on interest other than unconscionability |
| North Dakota | $28 \%$ |
| Ohio | No cap (because of credit services organization loophole) |
| Oklahoma | $116 \%$ for closed-end; $27 \%$ for open end plus annual fee, which must not be |
| unconscionable |  |

Table shows full APR for installment loan or open-end credit, whichever is higher.

* Illinois also has a payday installment loan statute that allows a full APR of $435 \%$ on a 180 -day $\$ 500$ loan. See Section $I(K)(1)$.
** Mississippi's lending law, Miss. Code § 75-17-19(6), is ambiguous about what charges and fees can be imposed. See Section II(C)(3).

TABLE 12

## States that Take "Hybrid" Approach to Payday Lending, Showing Maximum Full APR Allowed for $\$ 500$ Six-Month Loan or Cash Advance

| STATE | FULL APR ALLOWED |
| :--- | :--- |
| Colorado | $90 \%^{*}$ |
| Delaware | No cap on interest or fees |
| Florida | $48 \%$ |
| Maine | No cap other than unconscionability on interest or fees for open-end |
| Minnesota | $89 \%$ for open-end |
| Oregon | $36 \%$ |
| Rhode Island | No cap on interest or fees for open-end |
| Virginia | No cap on interest or fees for certain open-end credit plans |
| Washington | $25 \%$ plus annual fee with no stated cap for open-end |

Table shows full APR for installment loan or open-end credit, whichever is higher.
*Colorado also has a payday installment loan law that allows a full APR of $180 \%$ for a 6-month loan of $\$ 500$.

TABLE 13

## States that Do Not Allow Payday Lending, Showing Maximum Full APR Allowed for \$500 Six-Month Loan or Cash Advance

| STATE | FULL APR ALLOWED |
| :--- | :--- |
| Arizona | $54 \%$ |
| Arkansas | $17 \%$ |
| Connecticut | $54 \%$ for open-end |
| District of Columbia | $27 \%$ |
| Georgia | $61 \%$ |
| Maryland | $24 \%$ plus annual fee with no stated cap for open-end |
| Massachusetts | $18 \%$ plus annual fee with no stated cap other than unconscionability for |
|  | open-end |
| Montana | $36 \%$ |
| New Hampshire | $36 \%$ for open-end, plus fee; regulator has authority to investigate fees that are |
|  | unreasonable, unfair, or deceptive |
| New Jersey | $65 \%$ for open-end |
| New York | $25 \%$ |
| North Carolina | $18 \%$ |
| Pennsylvania | $59 \%$ for open-end |
| Vermont | $24 \%$ |
| West Virginia | $38 \%$ or, for open-end, capped interest rate plus fee, which must not be |
|  | unconscionable |

Table shows full APR for installment loan or open-end credit, whichever is higher.


[^0]:    See Section $I(A)(6)$ for an explanation of unconscionability.
    *A second law, Mich. Comp. Laws $\S \S 493.01$ to 493.24 , allows an interest rate of $25 \%$ plus an annual fee. The law does not place a numerical cap on the annual fee, but requires that it be reasonable.
    ${ }^{* *}$ Mississippi's lending law, Miss. Code § 75-17-19(6), is ambiguous about what charges and fees can be imposed. It appears that a non-bank lender cannot impose an annual fee, because annual fees are specifically addressed by § 75-19-17(2). Fees that would undermine the limit in § 75-17-19(1) on the periodic rate might also be at least implicitly prohibited.
    ***This provision is still in effect, but has been repealed effective January 2, 2016.

