

Americans for Financial Reform 1629 K St NW, 10th Floor, Washington, DC, 20006 202.466.1885

April 9, 2013

Dear Member of Congress,

We are writing to ask that you oppose H.R. 1077, which promotes steering borrowers into more expensive loans. Specifically, this bill creates new loopholes that would allow loans with higher costs and fees to improperly meet the Qualified Mortgage (QM) standard established in the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. Congress should refrain from weakening the Qualified Mortgage standard and reject this bill.

The mortgage reforms in Title XIV of Dodd-Frank were put in place as a direct response to the deceptive and unsound mortgage lending practices and products that put borrowers into risky, high-cost loans they could not understand or afford. First, the Ability to Repay provision requires all lenders to reasonably determine whether a borrower has an ability to repay a mortgage. Second, lenders can demonstrate their compliance with the Ability to Repay requirement by originating loans that meet the Qualified Mortgage definition. Providing QM loans benefits borrowers because these loans are restricted from having risky terms. This includes a cap on "points and fees" – which account for a loan's origination costs – that exceed 3 percent of the loan amount. This borrower protection prevents loans with more expensive origination costs from gaining QM status.

H.R. 1077 would weaken the consumer protections of QM loans by legislating a group of exceptions to get around the 3 percent points and fees threshold. These exceptions include exempting compensation paid to mortgage brokers and loan officers and title insurance paid to a company affiliated with a lender from counting toward the 3 percent cap.

The approach taken in this bill, which is misleadingly named the Consumer Mortgage Choice Act, is a flashback to the recent subprime crisis. During the subprime lending boom, borrowers often paid excessive origination costs, and increased compensation paid to loan originators often fueled these high fees. For example, in the case of many loans originated through mortgage brokers, borrowers paid once through cash payments made at closing and a second time through an increased interest rate used by the lender to fund a yield spread premium payment to the mortgage broker. These inflated origination costs stripped borrowers of valuable home equity – built over years of steady payments in the case of refinance loans – and simultaneously trapped them in loans with inflated interest rates. Inflated loan costs were particularly harmful for African-American and Latino borrowers, who were disproportionately targeted and steered into more expensive loans than they would have otherwise qualified for. By creating broad exceptions from the 3 percent points and fees threshold for QM loans, this bill would create a new kind of incentive for future abusive lending that overcharges consumers.

The title insurance-related exception in H.R. 1077 would make it easier for some lenders to evade the 3 percent points and fees cap and overcharge borrowers. Borrowers are responsible for paying title insurance costs, but the price for this product is agreed upon between the lender and the title insurance company. The incentives to increase the title insurance revenue paid by borrowers are enhanced when lenders are coordinating with their own affiliates that provide title insurance. To make matters more complicated, borrowers are often unaware when a lender and a title insurance company are affiliated with one another. This opaque system leaves borrowers with little information and leverage to get a better price. Instead of providing borrowers with true competition, exempting title insurance costs paid to a company affiliated with the lender from the points and fees cap would further entrench incentives to overcharge borrowers for title insurance. This would be a step backwards for borrowers.

In addition to being bad policy, H.R. 1077 would also undermine the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's ongoing rulemaking process. The Bureau is currently finalizing the Qualified Mortgage rulemaking, and Congress should not interrupt this rulemaking by passing a bill that weakens borrower protections.

Households and communities across the country have yet to recover from the recent subprime lending crisis, and Congress should learn from the past instead of creating incentives to repeat these lending abuses. As a result, the undersigned organizations oppose H.R. 1077 and ask that you not support this bill.

Sincerely,

National Signatories:

Alliance for a Just Society Americans for Financial Reform Center for Responsible Lending Common Good Consumer Action Consumer Federation of America Home Defenders League NAACP National Association of Consumer Advocates National Association of Neighborhoods National Community Reinvestment Coalition National Consumer Law Center (on behalf of its low income clients) National Council of La Raza National Fair Housing Alliance National People's Action Organize Now The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights The NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. (LDF) Woodstock Institute

State and Local Signatories:

Action NC Action United (Philadelphia, PA) Arkansans Against Abusive Payday Lending Arkansas Community Organizations Baltimore Neighborhoods, Inc. Center for NYC Neighborhoods Common Good Ohio **Connecticut Fair Housing Center** Ed Valenzuela, Ph.D., Chairman, Tempe Hispanic Community Forum ESOP: Empowering and Strengthening Ohio's People Fair Housing Advocates Assocation (Akron, OH) Fair Housing Center of Central Indiana in Indianapolis Fair Housing Center of Northern Alabama Fair Housing Center of West Michigan Fair Housing Continuum, Inc. in Melbourne, Florida. Fair Housing Napa Valley Fair Housing of Marin Greater New Orleans Fair Housing Action Center Home Opportunities Made Equal of Virginia HOPE Fair Housing Center (Wheaton IL) Housing Equality Law Project (HELP) (California) Housing Opportunities Project for Excellence, Inc (Florida) Inland Fair Housing and Mediation Board (California) Long Island Housing Services, Inc. Miami Valley Fair Housing Center in Dayton, Ohio. NEDAP (New York) New Jersey Citizen Action NJ Communities United North Texas Fair Housing Center Open Communities (Winnetka, IL) Organize Now (Orlando, FL) Savannah-Chatham County Fair Housing Council, Inc. South Suburban Housing Center The Housing Research & Advocacy Center (Cleveland, OH) **Toledo Fair Housing Center**